Mycophenolate mofetil versus cyclophosphamide for idiopathic membranous nephropathy; a double blind and randomized clinical trial
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: The current treatment regimens for patients with idiopathic membranous nephropathy (MN) are based on cyclophosphamide-glucocorticoid or calcineurin inhibitor-glucocorticoid.

Objectives: We evaluated whether mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) -glucocorticoid could be an option for first-line therapy among these patients.

Patients and Methods: In a double-blinded, randomized and controlled clinical trial, we compared the effect of MMF with cyclophosphamide in inducing complete or partial remission (PR) among patients with nephrotic syndrome due to idiopathic MN. All of the patients in both groups also received steroid, renin-angiotensin blockers and statins. Diuretics were also used in the patients who had edema. The primary end point of our study was change in urinary protein/creatinine ratio.

Results: A total of 30 patients completed the study. Around 17 patients received MMF (2 g/d) and 13 patients received intravenous or oral cyclophosphamide for 6 months. At the start of the study, no significant differences in demographic and biochemical parameters of patients including the urinary protein excretion rate between two groups (P = 0.432). The proportion of proteinuria was 5235 ± 1655 mg/24 in MMF group and 8781 ± 8741 mg/24 in the cyclophosphamide group at the beginning of the study. The rate of complete and PR were 5.9% and 52.9 in MMF group versus 16.7% and 100% in cyclophosphamide group which it is significantly lower in MMF group. Kidney function was stable in both groups during treatment.

Conclusions: According to the result of our study, a 6-month therapy with MMF-glucocorticoid is not recommended for treatment of patients with nephrotic syndrome due to idiopathic MN.

ABSTRACT

Implication for health policy/practice/research/medical education:
Membranous nephropathy (MN) is among the most common causes of the nephrotic syndrome in adults who do not have diabetes mellitus. It can be caused by a variety of underlying diseases, infections and a variety of drugs like penicillamine or bucillamine. However MN is idiopathic in approximately 75% of cases. The treatment of MN among patients who have secondary form of MN is an effective treatment of the underlying disease. In contrast to secondary form of MN, in primary MN, immunosuppressive regimens including cyclophosphamide-glucocorticoid or calcineurin inhibitor-glucocorticoid are administered. In this study, we evaluated whether mycophenolate mofetil-glucocorticoid could be an option among these patients.


1. Introduction
Membranous nephropathy (MN) is among the most common causes of the nephrotic syndrome in adults who do not have diabetes mellitus (1).

While the relative frequency of MN on kidney biopsy has declined in recent years compared to focal segmental glomerulosclerosis, however, it is still accounting for up to one-third of biopsy diagnoses in adults (particularly over age 40 years) with the nephrotic syndrome in some regions (1,2).

MN can be caused by a variety of underlying diseases and infections including systemic lupus erythematosus,
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sarcoidosis, malignancy, and hepatitis B and C virus infection. In addition, it can also be caused by a variety of drugs like penicillamine, bucillamine, gold salts, anti-TNF therapy and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs). However MN is idiopathic in approximately 75% of cases (3-8).

The treatment of MN among patients who have secondary form of MN is effective treatment of the underlying disease, eradication of infections and or cessation of the offending drug which are usually associated with improvement in the MN (2,3).

In contrast to secondary form of MN, immunosuppressive agents should be considered among some patients who have an idiopathic form of MN (9).

However clinical course of idiopathic MN is benign in a significant percent of patients and spontaneous complete and partial remission (PR) of proteinuria at five years occur in 5% to 30% and 25% to 40% respectively (9,10).

Therefore according to the potential toxicity of immunosuppressive agents, it is suggested that these drugs should be considered only in those patients who are most at risk for progressive disease (11).

The most important predictors of risk for a progressive disease among patients who have an idiopathic form of MN are persistent severe proteinuria particularly if protein excretion exceeds 8 to 10 g/d and a reduced creatinine clearance at presentation or over the assessed proteinuria period (11,12). Untreated these patients are most at risk for progression to end-stage renal disease which is a life-threatening disease with significant health consequences and poor outcomes (13-17). The primary immunosuppressive regimens used to treat idiopathic MN include cyclophosphamide -glucocorticoid and calcineurin inhibitor-glucocorticoid (9-11).

2. Objectives
In this study, we evaluated whether mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) -glucocorticoid regimen could be an option among these patients.

3. Patients and Methods
3.1. Study design
The study was a double-blind, randomized and controlled clinical trial approved by the Research Center of Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences. Our study performed at the outpatient clinic of nephrology in the Golestan hospital, Ahvaz, Iran. The drugs (MMF and cyclophosphamide) were provided to the patients free of cost. The period of study was twelve months from July 2014 to January 2018. Before beginning of the trial, the nature of the study was explained to each patient by author and written informed consents were obtained from the participants. The primary end-point of the study was reduction of proteinuria in both MMF and the cyclophosphamide groups. The study design is shown in Figure 1.

3.2. Inclusion, exclusion and randomization
Adult patients, who referred to our clinic with nephrotic

Figure 1. CONSORT (consolidated standards of reporting trial) chart for the study.
Idiopathic membranous nephropathy

syndrome and biopsy-proven MN by light microscopy and immunofluorescence, were evaluated. Nephrotic syndrome was defined as proteinuria more than 3 to 3.5 g/d along with hypoalbuminemia and hyperlipidemia.

We used a standardized questionnaire to collect general information of our patients including age, gender, vital signs, body mass index (BMI), the record of previous diseases, type and dose of immunosuppressive and immunosuppressive medications such as angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEI) and angiotensin II receptors blockers (ARB), and the results of laboratory data.

The inclusion criteria for selection of patients were patients with nephrotic syndrome and biopsy-proven MN who were older than 18 years, patients who had persistent proteinuria despite six months of non-immunosuppressive medications with ACEI or ARB and patients who had creatinine clearance more than 60 mL/min.

MN patients who had massive proteinuria (more than 8 g/d) and MN patients who had creatinine clearance less than 60 mL/min were also included and immunosuppressive therapy was initiated among these patients at the same time as ACEI or ARB initiation.

MN patients with the following characteristics were excluded from the study; loss of follow up, patients who had systemic diseases including diabetes mellitus, hepatitis B or C virus positivity, active infection, malignancy, renal vein thrombosis and acute coronary syndrome, pregnant women and patients who had active peptic ulcer disease and could not tolerate immunosuppressive therapy.

We randomly allocated our patients in two groups (MMF and cyclophosphamide). Patients in the MMF group received MMF at 2 g/d in 2 divided doses for 6 months. They also received prednisolone at 0.5 mg/kg/d for 2 to 3 months. The dose of MMF was decreased to 1.5 or 1 g/d in three or two divided doses among patients who had gastrointestinal symptoms with MMF.

Patients in the MMF group received a course of alternate months of steroid in the first, third, and fifth months and cyclophosphamide at 1.5 to 2 mg/kg/d in the second, fourth, and sixth months. The steroid months were began with pulse methylprednisolone, 1 g intravenously daily for 3 consecutive days, without oral prednisone and then followed by oral prednisolone at 0.5 mg/kg/d for 27 days.

In both groups, hypertension was treated with dietary salt restrictions, ACE inhibitors and ARB. Among hypertensive patients, additional antihypertensive agents including calcium channel blockers and diuretics were added to achieve appropriate blood pressure control.

Dietary restrictions, statins and/or fibric acid derivatives were used among patients who had hyperlipidemia.

Patients in two groups were followed monthly and or more frequently when required during treatment for evaluation of therapy, side effects of medications and blood pressure monitoring. Laboratory parameters including urinalysis, 24-hour urinary protein excretion rate, complete blood count, serum creatinine, blood urea nitrogen (BUN), serum albumin, fasting blood sugar, cholesterol and triglyceride were monitored at each visit while MMF and cyclophosphamide were discontinued temporarily when the white blood cell count fell to less than 4000 μL and or platelets decreased to less than 100,000 μL.

Complete remission (CR) was defined to exist when the protein excretion rate was below 300 mg/d together with normal renal function on at least three occasions and PR was defined when the protein excretion level was below 3.5 g/d plus a 50% or greater reduction in protein excretion from previous values together with normal renal function.

3.3. Ethical issues

The research followed the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. Informed consents were obtained from all patients. The study was approved by the ethical committee of Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences (ethical code: IR.AJUMS.REC.1393.226). This paper is a part of nephrology fellowship thesis of Shahla Ahmadi Halili, in the department of nephrology of Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences. Besides that, the study protocol was registered as in the Iranian registry of clinical trials (identifier: IRCT20180128038539N1; http://irct.it/trial/29433).

3.4. Statistical analysis

In order to compare the averages, the ratios and variables classified among groups were used in the statistical tests (t test, Mann-Whitney, chi-square and Fisher exact test). Also in order to investigate the duration of the relative and complete remission in patients, Kaplan-Meier survival duration curve was used. To compare the survival curves in two groups log-rank test was used. The Cox regression model was used to introduce the risk factors affecting the patient’s recovery. In the end, all analyses were carried out using SPSS 19 and P value ≤ 0.05 was significant.

4. Results

A total of 30 patients with nephrotic syndrome and biopsy-proven MN (19 males and 11 females) met eligibility criteria and completed the study. They were randomly assigned to the MMF group (17 patients; 10 males and 7 females) and the cyclophosphamide group (13 patients; 9 males and 4 females). The mean age of patients in MMF and the cyclophosphamide group were 38.11±7.27 and 38.69±6.52 years with no significant difference between them (P=0.15).

There was also no significant difference between females and males in both MMF and the cyclophosphamide group (P=0.6; Figure 2).

In addition, at the start of the study, no significant differences in demographic and biochemical parameters of patients including the urinary protein excretion rate between two groups was detected (P=0.432).
The proportion of proteinuria was 5235 ± 1655 mg/d in the MMF group and 8781 ± 8741 mg/d in the cyclophosphamide group at the beginning of the study (P = 0.432).

At the end of the sixth month of therapy, all of the patients in the cyclophosphamide group (100%) and 52.9% in the MMF group achieved complete or partial remission which is significantly lower in the MMF group.

Complete remission occurred in two male patients in the cyclophosphamide group (16.7%) and one female patient in the MMF group (5.9%).

Partial remission occurred in 11 patients (83.3%) (7 males and 4 females) in the cyclophosphamide group and 8 patients (47.05) (5 males and 3 females) in the MMF group.

Eight patients (47.05) (5 males and 3 females) in the MMF group did not achieve complete or PR after course of therapy.

In summary, the rate of complete and PR were 5.9% and 52.9 in the MMF group versus 16.7% and 83.3% in the cyclophosphamide group which is significantly better in the MMF group.

Kidney function was stable in both groups. Accordingly, creatinine clearance did not differ significantly either within or between groups during treatment.

5. Discussion

MMF, a powerful inhibitor of lymphocyte proliferation, has been administered since the early 1990s for the treatment of transplant patients. More recently, it has also been tried for treatment of patients with a variety of autoimmune diseases including diffuse or focal proliferative lupus nephritis and a variety of primary glomerular diseases including idiopathic MN (18-23).

Several prospective trials have suggested that MMF can be used as initial therapy in the great majority of patients with diffuse or focal proliferative lupus nephritis (class III or IV) and it has an effect at least equivalent (but not superior to) to cyclophosphamide among these patients (19).

Various clinical trials have also demonstrated that an MMF induction regimen provides similar efficacy and possibly fewer serious adverse effects compared with cyclophosphamide among patients with lupus nephritis (19,20).

There are a number of investigations about the effect of MMF in the treatment of idiopathic MN, both as first-line therapy like our study and also in patients who have failed prior therapy and the results are conflicting (21-23).

Our study compared the effect of MMF-glucocorticoid with cyclophosphamide-glucocorticoid in inducing complete or PR among patients with idiopathic MN and demonstrated that the rate of complete and PR in the MMF group is significantly lower than the MMF group.

At the end of the sixth month of therapy, all of our patients in the MMF group achieved complete or PR which is significantly better than the MMF group. The rate of complete and PR in the MMF group was only about 60%. Therefore according to the result of our study, we could not recommend MMF-glucocorticoid as an as initial therapy among patients with idiopathic MN.

Similar to the results of our study, Dussol et al showed that MMF is not effective for first-line therapy among these patients. In the randomized trial of Dussol et al, 36 patients with idiopathic MN who had protein excretion ranging from 5 to 10 g/d investigated. All patients received conservative therapy and 19 patients received MMF at 2.0 g/d in addition to conservative therapy. After 12 months follow up, the rates of CR and PR were not any significant differences between the two groups (21).

In contrast to the results of Dussol et al and our study, there are some randomized trials and observational studies which have suggested that MMF may be as effective as cytotoxic agents for first-line therapy among patients with idiopathic MN (22,23).

As an example, Senthil Nayagam et al showed that a 6-month therapy with MMF at 2.0 g/d along with prednisolone at 0.5 mg/kg/d for 2–3 months is as effective as conventional protocol (monthly cycles of steroids and cyclophosphamide for 6 months) for primary treatment of idiopathic MN (22).

Similar findings were noted in randomized study of Chan et al which compared the effect of MMF- prednisolone with chlorambucil- prednisolone among 20 patients with MN who were most at risk for progressive disease. According to the results of this investigation, MMF- prednisolone provided similar efficacy compared with chlorambucil-prednisolones and there was no significant difference between two groups in the rate of complete and PR (23).

6. Conclusions

MN is among the most common causes of the nephrotic syndrome in adults who don’t have diabetes mellitus. It can be caused by a variety of underlying diseases, infections and drugs. However MN is idiopathic in approximately 75%
of cases.

In contrast to secondary form of MN, immunosuppressive agents should be considered among some patients who have idiopathic form of MN.

The current primary regimens administered to treat these patients include alkylating agents (cyclophosphamide or, less often, chlorambucil) with steroids or calcineurin inhibitor drugs (cyclosporine or tacrolimus) with or without steroids.

We compared the effect of MMF–glucocorticoid with cyclophosphamide–glucocorticoid in inducing complete or PR among patients with idiopathic MN and demonstrated that the rate of complete and PR in the MMF group is significantly lower than the MMF group. In contrast to the results of our study, other randomized trials have suggested that MMF may be as effective as cytotoxic agents for first-line therapy among idiopathic MN patients. Therefore further randomized controlled clinical trials are needed to ascertain the efficacy of MMF among patients with an idiopathic form of MN.

Study limitations

Our investigation is limited by the short duration and the small number of Patients enrolled in the study. In addition, our investigation was also a single-center clinical trial. Therefore multi-center studies with larger number of patients and longer duration are needed to further evaluate the effect of MMF–glucocorticoid in treatment of these patients. While few clinical trials have suggested that MMF can be administered as a first line therapy among patients with idiopathic MN, however the beneficial effect of MMF was not detected in various randomized trials including our study. Therefore further long-term data and randomized controlled clinical trials are needed to prove the effect of MMF among these patients.
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