

## Checklist for Review

The following list contains some key points that a manuscript must be complied with.

Please note that this sheet is guide to review and should not be used as a review form.

### Original Papers

#### Title

---

Proper reflection of the contents  
Concise  
Not a questioning form  
Not a declarative form

#### Abstract

---

Complete yet succinct  
Independent  
Proper length

#### Introduction

---

Informative but brief background for the readers  
The present scientific gap described  
Study rationale adequately described  
Clear statement of the objectives of investigation

#### Materials and Methods

---

Proper Sampling  
Clear inclusion/exclusion criteria  
Clear description of methods  
Scientifically acceptable methods  
Study design consonant with the objectives  
Adequately described ethical issues

#### Results

---

Providing the expected results  
Proper statistical analyses and reports  
Results in line with the study's objectives presentations of this paper in meetings are mentioned in the covering letter.

#### Discussion

---

Already published studies mentioned  
Well description of differences/similarities with other studies  
Discussing the potential limitations of the study

#### Conclusions

---

A brief conclusion according to the study's questions  
Acceptable degree of certainty in the conclusion

#### References

---

Valid and up-to-date  
Style complied with the journal's format

#### Tables and Figures

---

Informative  
Additional data to the text  
Proper number/amount

#### And...

---

The paper is original  
No misconduct (plagiarism/fabrication/falsification/duplicate publication)  
The paper adds to the global knowledge

#### Case Reports

##### Title

---

Proper reflection of the contents  
Concise  
Not a questioning form  
Not declarative

##### Introduction

---

Informative but brief background for the readers  
Importance of reporting the case adequately described

##### Case Report

---

Providing all necessary data of the case  
Reasonable diagnostic and therapeutic interventions  
Convincing evidence for diagnosis

##### Discussion

---

Already published studies/case reports mentioned  
Well description of differences/similarities with other cases  
Proper interpretation of the diagnostic/treatment measures  
A brief conclusion according to the report  
Acceptable degree of certainty in the conclusion

##### References

---

Valid and up-to-date  
Style complied with the journal's format

##### Figures

---

Informative  
Additional data to the text  
Proper number/amount  
Desirable resolution and quality

#### And...

---

The paper is original  
No misconduct (plagiarism/fabrication/falsification/duplicate publication)  
The paper adds to the global knowledge